

AAE / ECON / FOREST 531 (Natural Resource Economics)

Homework #5

Due in Class on Thursday, December 4, 2008

1. **Renewable Substitutes.** This problem is a continuation of question #2 on Homework #4 for a competitive industry. Suppose the price of oil is given by $p_t = a - bq_t$, where q_t is the rate of oil extraction in period t , $a=1$ and $b=0.1$. The initial oil reserve (R_0) equals 75, the remaining oil reserves change according to $R_{t+1} = R_t - q_t$, and there are no extraction costs. Further, assume a 5% discount rate. Now, suppose a scientist develops a breakthrough using hydrogen as a substitute for oil, where hydrogen can be sold at a constant price of 0.8.
 - a. If the breakthrough occurs at $t=5$, plot the optimal time path of extraction (q_t) and price (p_t) for the life-cycle of the oil reserve.
 - b. If the breakthrough occurs at $t=13$, plot the optimal time path of extraction (q_t) and price (p_t) for the life-cycle of the oil reserve.

2. **Investment in cost-reducing technology.** A primary response to resource scarcity is investment in cost-reducing technology. Suppose a firm is interested in maximizing profits from extracting a non-renewable resource over two periods ($t=0,1$), where their profits in time t are written as $\pi_t = P_t q_t - cq_t^2 / R_0$, where P_t is the price of the resource, q_t is the amount extracted, c is a positive cost parameter, and R_0 is the initial stock. Suppose the firm is interested in investing in research in period 0 that will potentially lower their cost parameter in $t=1$ from c to $(c - \alpha I_0)$, where $0 < \alpha < 1$ and I_0 is the level of investment in period 0. Suppose further, that they are uncertain of the outcome from investment, and they believe two states are possible in $t=1$. In state 0, the research investment fails and the cost parameter stays at c . In state 1, the research investment succeeds and the cost parameter in $t=1$ falls to $(c - \alpha I_0)$. The probability of state 0 is ϵ , while the probability of state 1 is $1 - \epsilon$.
 - a. Derive an expression for the firm's optimal extraction choice in $t=1$ for both possible states ($S=0, 1$).
 - b. Using your results from part a, what expression determines the firm's optimal profits in $t=1$?
 - c. Suppose the investment level I_0 in period 0 will cost the firm $w_0 I_0^2$, where w_0 is a positive parameter. Using your results from part a and b, what expression determines the firm's optimal profits in $t=0$?
 - d. Suppose the following parameter values: $R_0=100$, $P_0=1$, $P_1=1.1$, $c=2$, $w=5$, $\alpha=0.1$, $\epsilon=0.5$, and a discount rate (δ) of 0.05. Using Solver, maximize your expression from part c by choosing q_0 and I_0 . Use starting values of $q_0=20$ and $I_0=0.04$. What is q_0^* and I_0^* ?
 - e. Using Solver, conduct comparative statics to see how investment changes as a result of a change in each of the eight parameters.

3. **Water resources.** Consider the problem of a water district managing the allocation of irrigation water along the length of a canal. The district wishes to maximize the value of water sent down the canal. The initial stock of water is X_0 , and the length of the canal is C . Irrigation ditches lie perpendicular to the canal at intervals $c=0, 1, 2, \dots, C$. At each

point along a ditch, net returns to agriculture are defined as $R_c = aY_c - (b/2)Y_c^2$, where Y_c indicates the total amount of water allocated to ditch c , and a and b are positive parameters. As water moves downstream, it seeps out of the canal. Define the seepage at any given ditch c as dX_c , where d represents the proportion of the stock lost to seepage at ditch c . In summary, water moving down the canal is reduced at each ditch by the amount of seepage and by the amount of water removed for agriculture.

- a. State the district's optimization problem.
- b. Derive the first-order conditions.
- c. Suppose $d=0$. Are water withdrawals increasing, decreasing, or constant along the canal? Provide economic intuition.
- d. Suppose $0 < d < 1$. Are water withdrawals increasing, decreasing, or constant along the canal? Provide economic intuition.